UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

	2008 SEP - 4 TAPISILLE DO Clerk's Office File Stamp
TO:	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT CLERK US DISTRICT COURT Brooken HERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
└	DISTRICT JUDGE / WI U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE /
FROM: L. H	BY
·	08cv896 JLS (RBB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff
CASE TITLE: Medina v. Hueso, et al	
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice/Motion to Oppose Dismissal	
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
✓ Local Rule	Discrepancy
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
7.1 or 47.	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
7.1	Missing table of contents
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
	Supplemental documents require court order
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
	OTHER:
	Date forwarded: 9/2/2008
IT IS HEREBY	ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	iment is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
Rejected	document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1	
	CHAMBERS OF:
Dated:	9/3/2008 By: Make Power
cc: All P	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·